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#### Abstract

Asteraceae is the largest family of angiosperms, comprising nearly about 1620 genera and more than 23600 species. In India the family is represented by 900 species belonging to 167 genera. In our present work, the palynomorphological analysis of 31 Indian species belonging to the subfamily Asteroideae has been dealt with. For the present study the polleniferous materials were freshly collected from the Burdwan District of West Bengal, India from their natural habitats while some of them were collected from nurseries. It has been observed that Asteroideae subfamily is strictly eurypalynous. Pollen grains of all the species are spheroidal to oblate-spheroidal and exhibit a great diversity in their spinate and conate surface structures and also in their apertural pattern, ranging from colporate to colporoidate to colpoidorate with variations in their endoaperture.
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## INTRODUCTION

Family Asteraceae of the flowering plant belonging to Order Asterales is the largest family of angiosperms, comprising nearly 1620 genera and more than 23600 species (Stevens 2001) of herbs, shrubs, vines and trees which are cosmopolitan in distribution and are abundant mainly in the arid and sem-arid regions of subtropical and lower temperate latitudes, colonizing a wide variety of habitats. In India the family is represented by 900 sp. belonging to 167 genera (Bisht et al. 2010).

Palynological investigation of the members of Asteraceae has been made from time to time, all over the world, in terms of broad geographical range or detailed studies of specific taxonomic groups. Major palynological researches which worth to be mentioned were made by Wodehouse $(1926,1930,1935)$ and Stix (1960) who have studied 235 species and distinguished 49 pollen types. Further analysis of pollen
types and exine patterns of Asteraceae sp. were made by Skavarla et al. (1977), Clark et al. (1980) and Bolick (1978). Palynological surveys at the tribal level of classification were carried out in a broader spectrum in many countries within the tribes Lactuceae, Vernoninae, Gnaphaliae, Heleniae, Inulae, Senecionae, Calendulae, Anthemidae, Plucheeae, Gochnatieae, Cynareae by Osman (2006,2011), Qureshi et al. (2008), Bunwong and Chantaramothai (2008) and Telleria et al. (2013). In India, detailed palynological studies of the members of Asteroideae is rather meagre although major palynological studies of the species of Asteraceae were made by Nair (1965), Jain and Nanda (1966), Rao and Shukla (1975) and Srivastava (1976) to name a few.

The classification of the Family Asteraceae, mainly at the subfamily and tribel level, has been worked out and revised by numerous authors from time to time.

Some of them were discarded while several of them have been accepted and are being followed by many authors. Bentham and Hooker (1873) has divided the family Asteraceae (Compositae) into 11 tribes which are further classified into subtribes, while Panero and Funk (2002) has classified the family into 12 subfamilies and 36 tribes based on comparative DNA sequence data of chloroplast genes. The subfamily, Asteroideae is the largest one which includes more than $70 \%$ of the species of the family i.e., 7200 species under 1135 genera worldwide. Robinson $(1978,1983)$ has further grouped the tribes of the subfamily Asteroideae under 3 supertribes, namely Senecinodae, Asterodae and Helianthodae. List of genera belonging to different tribes as classified by Panero and Funk $(2002,2008)$ is as follows:

| Tribe | Genera |
| :--- | :--- |
| Senecionae: | Cineraria |
| Anthemidae: | Chrrysanthemum |
| Asterae: | Grangea, Brachyscoma, Bellis <br> Gnaphalieae: <br> Gnaphalium |
| Calendulae: | Calendula, Dimorphotica <br> Heliantheae: <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Sluenthera, Eclypta, Lagasceae, <br> Spilanthes, Synedrella, Tridax, <br> Wedelia, Xanthium, Zinnia, Parhenium, <br> Helianthes, Enhydra, Rudhbeckia |
| Eupatorae: | Eupatorium, Ageratum, Mikenia <br> Inulae: |
| Blumea, Sphaeranthes |  |

The present work deals with the palynomorphological analysis of 31 Indian species of Asteraceae belonging to the subfamily Asteroideae which are distributed among eleven tribes: Heliantheae, Eupatorae, Inulae, Anthemidae, Coreopsidae, Asterae, Tagetae, Gnaphalieae, Calendulae, Plucheeae and Senecionae, which are further grouped under 3 supertribes namely Helianthodae, Asterodae and Senecionodae.

## MATERIALAND METHODS

The polleniferous materials of thirty-one plant species of Asteraceae were freshly collected from
several blocks of Burdwan district of West Bengal. Most of them grow wildly in their natural habitats while some of them are being horticultured. For the present study purpose the pollen grains were acetolysed following the acetolysis method of Erdtman (1952) and were investigated using light field bright microscopy. The acetolysed pollen grains were mounted in glycerine jelly on glass slides with coverslip and were studied under Olympus GB microscope and they were photographed under a Leitz laborlux $S$ (Germany) microscope with Leica DFC 295 digital camera attachment.

## OBSERVATION

Micromorphological details of the selected taxa belonging to the subfamily Asteroidae of Asteraceae are as follows:

Ageratum conyzoides (L.) L. (Plate 1, Figs 1-3):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 30 \times 31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb triangular, planoaperturate, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $17.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora more or less circular, $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate; exine tegillated, $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, coni $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is 4.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Bellis perennis L. (Plate 1, Figs 4-6):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, sub-prolate, P X E $32 \times 37 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, amb sub-triangular, planoaperturate, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, broadly elliptic with gradually tapering pointed ends, $19.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora circular, $10.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter at the equator, tenuimarginate; exine tegillate, $2.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous, surface conate, coni $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $2.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $3.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Blumea lacera (Burm. f.) DC. (Plate 1, Figs $7-$ 8):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 35 \times 42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb triangular, planoaperturate, tri-zonocolporate; colpi
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narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $21.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora more or less circular, $6.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate; exine tegillate, $3.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base with pointed tips, interspinal distance is $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Calendula officinalis L. (Plate 1, Figs 9-11):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate-spheroidal to sub-oblate, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 56 \times 61 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (spines included), amb triangular-quadrangular, anguloaperturate; tri-tetra-zonocolpoidorate, colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with highly tapering acuminate ends, $9.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $12.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora broad, lalongately rectangular, 11.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length along the polar axis and $12.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide across the equator, crassimarginate, exine tegillated, 7.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spines with highly tapering acuminate apex, 9.6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth at the base, interspinal distance is $7.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Chrysanthemum indicum L. (Plate 1, Figs 1214):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, sub oblate, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 41.8 \times 48 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, amb triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $24.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the eqiuator, ora circular, $7.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, 5.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in thickness (excl. spine) with highly developed, distinct, columellae, crassisexinous; surface conate, microreticulate, coni $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth at base, lumina irregularly polygonal, $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, heterobrochate.

## Cineraria X hybrid (Plate 1, Figs 15-17):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal to oblate spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 34 \times 35$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb sub-triangular, anguloaperturate, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $23.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora distinctly circular, $7.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate,
coni $2.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Coreopsis auriculata L. (Plate 1, Figs 18-20):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, PxE $38 \times 39 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb subtriangular, fossaperturate, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpoid faint, linear with pointed ends, $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and 4.3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $7.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillated, $8.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long with highly tapering acuminate apex, spine $7.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $6.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Cosmos bipinnatus Cav. (Plate 1, Figs 21-23):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $51 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter (incl. spine), amb circular, trizonocolporate; colpi short, brevisimmicolpate, narrowly elliptic with highly tapering acuminate ends, $19.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the eqiuator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, 14.4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diameter across the equator, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous or sexine is as thick as nexine; surface spinate, spine long and slender with small, conical base, spine 7.7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth at base, interspinal distance is $7.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Dahlia sp. (Plate 1, Figs 24-26):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $69 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb circular, tri-zonocolporate; brevisimmicolpate, colpi short, narrowly elliptic with acute ends, $9.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora broadly lalongate with circular ends, $11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equator and $6.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long, slender with highly tapering acuminate apex having conical base, $15.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $9.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Dimorphotheca pluvialis (L.) Moench (Plate 1, Figs 27-29):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 34.2 \times 37.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb triangular, anguloaperturate, tri-
zonocolporoidate; colpi narrowly elliptic with gradually tapering pointed ends, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, oroid faint, lalongately circular, $6.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, coni $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is 4.7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Eclipta prostrata (L.) L. (Plate 1, Figs 30-31):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, prolate spheroidal, P x E $44.5 \times 43 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb circular, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora more or less circular, $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $5.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Eluenthera ruderalis (Sw.) Sch. Bip. (Plate 1, Figs 32-34):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate-spheroidal, P x E $37.6 \times 39.8$ (incl. spine), amb circular, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with acuminate ends, $27.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with circular ends, $6.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter at the equator and $4.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length along the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine $5.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is 8.1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Enhydra fluctuens DC. (Plate 1, Figs 35-37):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, sub oblate, $P \times E 35.4 \times 38.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate; colpi narrowly elliptic, faint, with pointed ends, $20.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora circular, $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spines are widely placed, spine $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across base with truncated or pointed tips, interspinal distance is $7.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Chromolaena odorata (L.) King \& Rob. (Plate 1, Figs 38-39):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $P \times E 39 \times 43 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub-triangular, fossaperturate, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $15.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with acute ends, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter along the polar axis and $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equatorial axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, coni 4.9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in height and $6.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across base with pointed tips, interspinal distance is $7.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Gnaphalium polycaulon Pers. (Plate 1, Figs 4042):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, P x E $25 \times 27.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub- triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter along the equatorial axis and 5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diameter across the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $5.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, spines are closely spaced, spine $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Grangea maderespatona (L.) Poir. (Plate 2, Figs 1-3):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate- spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 28 \times 32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub-triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora more or less circular, $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, spine $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Helianthus annuus L. (Plate 2, Figs 4-6):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb more or less circular, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpi faint,
narrowly elliptic with abruptly tapering pointed ends, $28.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth at the equator, ora circular, $4.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long and slender with highly tapering pointed apex, $8.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Lagasceae mollis Cav. (Plate 2, Figs 7-8):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, PxE $28 \times 32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb subtriangular, tri-zonocolporate; brevisimmicolpate, colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine with highly tapering pointed apex, spine $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and 5.4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Mikenia scandens (L.) Willd. (Plate 2, Figs 911):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 23 \times 26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub-triangular, fossaperturate, tri-zonocolporate;colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $19.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $2.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora more or less circular, $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base with pointed tips, interspinal distance is $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Parthenium hysterophorus L. (Plate 2, Figs 1213):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, size $P \times E$ is $24.1 \times 28.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, oblate-spheroidal, amb subtriangular, trizonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator ora more or less circular, $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillated, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness, crassisexinuous, surface conate, coni $3.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base with pointed tips, interspinal distance is $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Pluchea indica (Plate 2, Figs 14-16):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar,
oblate-spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 40 \times 42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb triangular, fossaperturate, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with acuminate ends, $28.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter at the equator and $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length along the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate with bulbous base, spine $7.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $6.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Rudbeckia hirta L. (Plate 2, Figs 17-18):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, PxE $35.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb circular, tri-zonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $23.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and 3.6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora small, circular, $3.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, 4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, spine $5.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Sphaeranthus indicus L. (Plate 2, Figs 19-20):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $23 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, amb sub-triangular, fosssaperturate, trizonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide across the equator, ora circular, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillated, $2.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), sexine is as thick as nexine, surface conate, spine $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $3.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Acmella paniculata (Wall. Ex DC.) Jansen (Plate 2, Figs 21-23):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate spheroidal, $P \times E 38 \times 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub- triangular, fossaperturate, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $22.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $9.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter along the equatorial axis and $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $4.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spines are closely spaced, spine $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn. (Plate 2, Figs 24-26):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate-spheroidal, P x E $29.3 \times 33 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub-triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate; colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $19 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora small, circular, $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, spine $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Tagetes erecta L. (Plate 2, Figs 27-29):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $48 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb circular, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with highly tapering acuminate apex, $22.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $10.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately oval with pointed ends, $11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equator and $6.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along the polar axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long, slender with highly tapering acuminate apex having conical base, 7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in height and $6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $6.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Tridax procumbens (L.) L. (Plate 2, Figs 30-31):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal to oblate spheroidal, $47 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine) in diameter, amb sub-triangular to sub quadrangular, fossaperturate, tri-tetrazonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $18.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with acute ends, $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter along the polar axis and $10.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equatorial axis, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spines are closely placed, spine $6.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across base with pointed tips, interspinal distance is $6.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Wedellia urticaefolia (Blume) DC. Ex Wight. (Plate 2, Figs 32-33):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 34 \times 35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb more
or less circular, tri-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $18.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora circular, $4.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equator, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long and slender with highly tapering pointed apex, $5.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Xanthium stumarium L. (Plate 2, Figs 34-36):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, oblate- spheroidal, P $\times \mathrm{E} 30 \times 35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb sub-triangular, fossaperturate, trizonocolporoidate; brevicolporate, colpi faint, short, narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $6.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora small, lolongately elliptic, insconspicuous, $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface conate, spine 2.5 . $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in height and $2.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Zinnia hybrid Roem. \& Usteri. (Plate 2, Figs 37-38):

Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{E} 30 \times 32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb subtriangular, tri-tetra-zonocolporate; colpi narrowly elliptic with pointed ends, $17 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora lalongately elliptic with pointed ends, $3.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equator, tenuimarginate, exine tegillate, $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine with highly tapering pointed apex, spine $4.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and 3.1 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Zinnia peruviana (L.) L. (Plate 2, Figs 39-40):
Pollen grains are radially symmetrical, isopolar, spheroidal-oblate spheroidal, P x E $58 \times 59 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (incl. spine), amb triangular, anguloaperturate, trizonocolpoidorate, colpi faint, narrowly elliptic with obtuse ends, $32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $8.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in breadth across the equator, ora broadly lolongate with circular ends, $7.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter across the equator and 11.4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ along the polar axis, crassimarginate, exine tegillate, $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (excl. spine), crassisexinous; surface spinate, spine long, slender with small, conical bases,
$8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in height and $4.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at base, interspinal distance is $4.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## DISCUSSION

The subfamily Asteroidae of the family Asteraceae apparently seems to be a stenopalynous one from the above palynological investigation of the species, as they exhibit similarity in their apertural pattern, being tri to tetra-colporate and surface ornamentation, being spinate to conate. The shape of the grains also ranges from spheroidal to oblate-spheroidal and the size ranges from 28 to $38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, except few. On critical analysis of the pollen grains, it can be concluded that the subfamily Asteroidae is strictly a eurypalynous one as they exhibit variations in their colporate apertures, a) being tritetrazonocolporate where both the ora and the colpi are distinct, b) colpoidorate where the colpi is faint and not so prominent while the ora is distinctly prominent, e.g., Ageratum conyzoides, Bellis perennis, Chrysanthemum sp., c) colporoidate where the colpi is distinct but the ora is insconspicuous, faint, e.g., Dimorphotheca sp., Xanthium sp. There is also variation in the ora of the pollen grains. Some are lalongate, a) with pointed ends, e.g., Cosmos sp., d) circular ends, e.g., Eluenthera sp. some are lolongately oval, e.g., Zinnia sp. while some are circular, e.g., Bellis sp.

Regarding the surface onamentation of the pollen grains, all are either spinate e.g., Calenduala sp., Cosmos sp., Blumea sp., Dahlia sp. or conate, e.g., Ageratum sp., Bellis sp., Chrysanthemum sp., Cineraria sp., but each species exhibits variation in it, in terms of the base of the spines, some are provided with basal cushions while the rest of the members lack such basal cushions such as Wedellia sp., Synedrella sp. and Rudbeckia sp. The basal cushions are either hemispherical shaped e.g., Pluchea sp. or conically notched e.g., Zinnia peruviana and Tagetes erecta. The interspinal distance also plays a major role in distinguishing these grains. In some grains the spines are closely placed viz., Parthenium sp. and Grangea sp. while in others the spines are sparsely placed like Calendula sp., Cosmos sp., Dahlia sp. Few grains are exceptionally larger in size ranging from $42-55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
in diameter like Zinnia peruviana and Tagetes erecta.
Bentham and Hooker (1873) had placed the genera Dahlia, Coreopsis and Cosmos within the tribe Heliantheae in his book Genera Plantarum. In our present study it has been observed that there is a vivid palynological difference in the pollen grains of these three species from the rest of the members of the tribe Heliantheae, being brevisimmicolpate i.e., colpi is very short and is as long as the underlying endoaperture. So, palynologically it supports the classification of Panero and Funk $(2002,2008)$ in which the above mentioned three members are grouped into a separate tribe i.e., Coreopsidae.

Tagetes patula has been placed under tribe Helenoidae by Bentham and Hooker (1873), but the pollen grains of Tagetes sp. exhibits a striking difference from the rest of the species of tribe Heliantheae by being exceptionally large in size, having very long, slender spines and bearing colporate, lalongate aperture. So, palynologically the classification of Panero and Funk $(2002,2008)$ is much more acceptable where Tagetes patula is put under a separate tribe i.e., Tageteae.

So, according to the present observations, it can be concluded that the classification of Asteroideae at the tribal level by Panero and Funk $(2002,2008)$ and Funk et al. (2009) is supported palynologically.
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Plate 2
Figs. 1-3 Pollen grains of Grangea maderespatona (L.) Poir. Figs. 4-6 Helianthus annuus L. Figs. 7-8 Lagasceae mollis Cav. Figs. 9-11 Mikenia scandens (L.) Willd. Figs. 12-13 Parthenium hysterophorus L. Figs. 14-16 Pluchea indica Figs. 17-18 Rudbeckia hirta L. Figs. 19-20 Sphaeranthus indicus L. Figs. 21-23 Acmella paniculata (Wall. Ex DC.) Jansen Figs. 24-26 Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn. Figs. 27-29 Tagetes erecta L. Figs. 30-31 Tridax procumbens (L.) L. Figs. 32-33 Wedellia urticaefolia (Blume) DC. Ex Wight. Figs. 34-36 Xanthium stumarium L. Figs. 37-38 Zinnia hybrida Roem. \& Usteri. Figs. 39-40 Zinnia peruviana (L.) L.

