# Melittopalynological investigation of honeys from Chamarajanagar District, southern Karnataka, India

M. S. Chauhan and Srikanth Murthy

Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany, 53 University Road, Lucknow-226007, India E-mail: mschauhan\_2000@yahoo.com; murthy\_srikanta@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

Chauhan M. S. & Murthy S. 2010. Melittopalynological investigation of honeys from Chamarajanagar District, southern Karnataka, India. Geophytology 39(1-2): 41-47.

Pollen analysis of two honey samples from Gundal Dam and Biligirirangaswamy Betta (B. R. Hills) in Chamarajanagar District, Karnataka has shown rich and diverse pollen assemblage, with abundance of Ageratum conyzoides and Pongamia sp. pollen (74% and 73% respectively). Therefore, both the plants are the chief sources of nectar flow in their respective areas and hence the honeys used in the present study are monofloral in nature. Further, this could be attributed to their profuse growth in the local floristic as well as peak flowering in the season of honey production. Others such as Syzygium, Terminalia, Acacia, Grewia, Feronia lemonia, Caesalpinia, Moringa, Mimosa pudica, Prosopis, etc. are the secondary sources of nectar and are visited infrequently by bees for forage, whereas the pollen of anemophilous plants such as Poaceae, Cyperaceae, etc. got either trapped in the hives incidentally by winds or inadvertently transported by the bees.

Key-words: Melittopalynology, pollen, monofloral honey, southern Karnataka, India.

## INTRODUCTION

Melittopalynology deals with the study of pollen content of honey, which facilitates in ascertaining the botanical origin of honey, i.e. the bee frequently visiting source plants for nectar, the kind and season of honey flow by the qualitative and quantitative analysis of its pollen content. In India most of the studies on this aspect have been conducted from Andhra Pradesh (Ramanujam & Kalpana 1991, Ramanujam & Khatija 1992, 1995, Ramanujam et al. 1992, Jhansi et al. 1994, Lakshmi & Suryanarayana 2004). Some sketchy information is also available in this perspective from Bihar (Suryanarayana et al. 1992), Maharashtra (Deodikar 1964, Deodikar & Thakar 1953, Deodikar et al. 1958), Himachal Pradesh (Sharma 1970, Sharma & Raj 1985), West Bengal (Bhattacharya et al. 1983), Kumaon (Garg & Nair 1974a, b, Verma 1983, 1988) and Uttar Pradesh (Chaturvedi & Sharma 1973, Chaturvedi 1977). However, Karnataka state, which is very prosperous in forest resource and could serve as a potential hub for melittopalynological studies has

remained neglected, barring a few reports from Dakshin Karnataka District (Agashe & Rangaswamy 1997), Bhagamandala (Seethalakshmi 1980), Western Ghat and Chickmagalur District (Phadke 1962, Bhargava et al. 2009). Such studies can provide valuable database in order to divulge the nature of honey and season of nectar flow as well as in understanding the quality of honey whether it is pure or adulterated through the study of pollen content. Hence, in the present paper an attempt has been made to extend such investigations in other area to highlight potentiality of the state, which could contribute in promoting beekeeping on a commercial scale.

The areas of present investigation namely Gundal Dam and Biligirirangaswamy Betta (B. R. Hills) are situated in Chamarajanagar District of southern Karnataka between 12°0' and 12°19' north latitudes and 78°07' and 78°19' east longitudes. Gundal Dam, a water reservoir, is situated at 12 km from Kollegal City, whereas B. R. Hills is a part of Yelandar Taluk and it is about 22 km from Yelandar City (Figure 1).



Text-figure 1. Map showing the sites of investigation in Chamarajanagar District, Karnataka

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

The materials for the present investigation including two lobes of beehives were picked up with the help of local folks in the month of May, 2009 from Gundal Dam and Biligirirangaswamy Betta (B. R. Hills) in Chamarajanagar District. About 200gm of crude honey was squeezed from each beehive in the polythene bags for the present investigation. Small quantities (20 g) of honeys were dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water to avoid contamination and stirred gently until the samples became homogeneous. They were washed with water twice by centrifuging and decantation. Thereafter, the samples were treated with glacial acetic acid in order to dehydrate them. This is followed by acetolysis (Erdtman, 1943) using acetolysing mixture (9:1, acetic anhydride and concentrated sulphuric acid). The samples were again treated with glacial acetic acid before washing with distilled water twice. Finally, permanent slides were prepared in glycerine jelly for microscopic examination and were sealed with paraffin wax.

The honey samples gathered from Gundal Dam and BR Hills have yielded huge quantities of pollen grains belonging to various plant taxa, though in variable numbers. A total number of 3909 and 3189 pollen grains were counted in the samples from Gundal Dam and BR Hills respectively taking traverses on the slides, while examining them under light microscope. However, the

#### Plate 1

1-2. Acacia. 3-4. Caesalpinia. 5-6. Sesamum indicum. 7. Argemone. 8. Eucalyptus. 9. Syzygium. 10-11. Croton. 12. Poaceae. 13-14, Feronia lemonia. 15. Type 1. 16. Bauhinia. 17-18. Prosopis. 19-20. Ageratum conyzoides. 21. Blumea 22-23, Grewia. 24-25. Clusters of pollen in honey



Plate 1

On the other hand, the investigation of honey sample from BR Hills also brings out a much diversified pollen assemblage (Text-figure 2B). In this case, *Pongamia* (73%) is forming the principal chunk of the pollen load. *Mimosa pudica* (10.5%), *Caesalpinia* (10.42%) and *Grewia* (7.2%) are the important minor pollen types encountered. The others such as *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Schleichera*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Terminalia*, *Eucalyptus*, *Prosopis*, *Alternanthera*, *Solanum*, *Loranthus* and Meliaceae (0.5% each) along with pollen of nectarless plants belonging to Poaceae and Caryophyllaceae (0.5% each) are recovered sporadically (Plate 2).

# **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The analysis of honey has brought out a good prospective for the development of bee colonies in the areas under investigation. Bees utilize the pollen for brood nurturing, increase in colony strength and the nectar for their carbohydrate requirement.

The melittopanynological investigation of the honey sample from Gundal Dam, Chamarajanagar District has demonstrated that the abundance of Ageratum conyzoides pollen, constituting a major fraction of 74% of the total pollen recovered. Thus, it is very apparent that the honey manufactured by the bees is monofloral in nature since the International Commission for Bee Botany (ICBB, 1970) has recommended the samples with 45% or more pollen of a single type to this category. Further, it could be inferred that Ageratum conyzoides was at full bloom during the period (August-March) of honey production and it was luxuriant in the local flora near the provenance of the beehive, serving a major source of nectar to the bees. Blumea sp. was the secondary minor important source of nectar. However, Syzygium, Eucalyptus, Sesamum indicum, Moringa, Ricinus communis, Bauhinia and members of Oleaceae were either not in peak flowering at the time of honey production or they grow sporadically around the ambit of beehive as depicted by their stray pollen in the sample. On the other hand, the extremely stray pollen grains of anemophilous plants such as Poaceae, Cyperaceae, etc. reveal that either they might have got incidentally trapped in the beehive or adhered to the

bees/insects body during their frequent visits in search of nectar.

On the other hand, the investigation of honey sample from BR Hills also from Chamarajanagar District demonstrates a less diversified pollen assemblage. However, among the recovered palynomorphs, Pongamia with much high frequency of 73% depicts to be the main source of nectar and, therefore, the honey used in the present study is monofloral in origin too. This could be attributed to its peek flowering during the period of March to May as well as the frequent occurrence of Pongamia trees in the vicinity of beehive. Mimosa pudica, Caesalpinia and Grewia were the minor important plants visited by bees to gather the nectar. Teminalia, Prosopis, Syzygium, Meliaceae together with herbaceous elements, viz. Ageratum coyzoides, Loranthus, Solanum, Blumea sp., etc. were rarely preferred, probably their feeble presence in the local flora. The retrieval of scanty pollen of anemophilous plants such as grasses (Poaceae) and Caryophyllaceae might have entangled with insects/bees inadvertently while visiting in search of forage.

Thus, from the present investigation of two honey samples from Gundal Dam and BR Hills, southern Karnataka it has become evident that the local floristic composition and flowering period are the sole factors in determination of quality and the production of honey. In both the cases, the honey is monofloral in nature as clearly manifested by the record of pollen of Ageratum conyzoides and Pongamia with exceptionally high frequencies of the larger fractions 74% and 73% respectively in the samples analyzed. Furthermore, in could be inferred that these to taxa were in peak flowering at the time of honey formation. This is also inferred that the bees have not visited far distance in search of nectar as it has been observed that they normally cover a distance of 700 to 900 m, if good forage is available in the environs of the hives (Suryanarayana et al. 1992).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Director, BSIP, Lucknow for providing facilities to carry out this work. Thanks are also due to Mrs. Indra Goel for the chemical On the other hand, the investigation of honey sample from BR Hills also brings out a much diversified pollen assemblage (Text-figure 2B). In this case, *Pongamia* (73%) is forming the principal chunk of the pollen load. *Mimosa pudica* (10.5%), *Caesalpinia* (10.42%) and *Grewia* (7.2%) are the important minor pollen types encountered. The others such as *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Schleichera*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Terminalia*, *Eucalyptus*, *Prosopis*, *Alternanthera*, *Solanum*, *Loranthus* and Meliaceae (0.5% each) along with pollen of nectarless plants belonging to Poaceae and Caryophyllaceae (0.5% each) are recovered sporadically (Plate 2).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of honey has brought out a good prospective for the development of bee colonies in the areas under investigation. Bees utilize the pollen for brood nurturing, increase in colony strength and the nectar for their carbohydrate requirement.

The melittopanynological investigation of the honey sample from Gundal Dam, Chamarajanagar District has demonstrated that the abundance of Ageratum conyzoides pollen, constituting a major fraction of 74% of the total pollen recovered. Thus, it is very apparent that the honey manufactured by the bees is monofloral in nature since the International Commission for Bee Botany (ICBB, 1970) has recommended the samples with 45% or more pollen of a single type to this category. Further, it could be inferred that Ageratum conyzoides was at full bloom during the period (August-March) of honey production and it was luxuriant in the local flora near the provenance of the beehive, serving a major source of nectar to the bees. Blumea sp. was the secondary minor important source of nectar. However, Syzygium, Eucalyptus, Sesamum indicum, Moringa, Ricinus communis, Bauhinia and members of Oleaceae were either not in peak flowering at the time of honey production or they grow sporadically around the ambit of beehive as depicted by their stray pollen in the sample. On the other hand, the extremely stray pollen grains of anemophilous plants such as Poaceae, Cyperaceae, etc. reveal that either they might have got incidentally trapped in the beehive or adhered to the

bees/insects body during their frequent visits in search of nectar.

On the other hand, the investigation of honey sample from BR Hills also from Chamarajanagar District demonstrates a less diversified pollen assemblage. However, among the recovered palynomorphs. Pongamia with much high frequency of 73% depicts to be the main source of nectar and, therefore, the honey used in the present study is monofloral in origin too. This could be attributed to its peek flowering during the period of March to May as well as the frequent occurrence of Pongamia trees in the vicinity of beehive. Mimosa pudica, Caesalpinia and Grewia were the minor important plants visited by bees to gather the nectar. Teminalia, Prosopis, Syzygium, Meliaceae together with herbaceous elements, viz. Ageratum coyzoides, Loranthus, Solanum, Blumea sp., etc. were rarely preferred, probably their feeble presence in the local flora. The retrieval of scanty pollen of anemophilous plants such as grasses (Poaceae) and Caryophyllaceae might have entangled with insects/bees inadvertently while visiting in search of forage.

Thus, from the present investigation of two honey samples from Gundal Dam and BR Hills, southern Karnataka it has become evident that the local floristic composition and flowering period are the sole factors in determination of quality and the production of honey. In both the cases, the honey is monofloral in nature as clearly manifested by the record of pollen of Ageratum conyzoides and Pongamia with exceptionally high frequencies of the larger fractions 74% and 73% respectively in the samples analyzed. Furthermore, it could be inferred that these to taxa were in peak flowering at the time of honey formation. This is also inferred that the bees have not visited far distance in search of nectar as it has been observed that they normally cover a distance of 700 to 900 m, if good forage is available in the environs of the hives (Suryanarayana et al. 1992).

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Director, BSIP, Lucknow for providing facilities to carry out this work. Thanks are also due to Mrs. Indra Goel for the chemical processing of the samples, to Mr. Pawan S. Katiyar for the preparation of text-figures and to Mr. Nataraj and Mr. Langaraj for helping in the collection of beehives.

## REFERENCES

- Agashe S. N. & Rangaswamy B. E. 1997. Melittopalynological studies of honey samples from Bagardka, Dakshin Kannada District, Karnataka. Indian Bee Journal 59(1):8-11.
- Bhargava H. R., Jyothi J. V. A., Bhushanam M. & Surendra N. S. 2009. Pollen analysis of *Apis* honey, Karnataka, India. Apicata 44: 14-19.
- Bhattacharya K., Chanda S., Gupta S. & Ganguly P. 1983. Analysis of the pollen load from a honey sample from Salt Lake City, Calcutta. Sci. Cult. 49: 222-224.
- Chaturvedi M. 1977. Further investigation of the pollen load from Banthra, India. New Botanist 4(1-4): 41-48.
- Chaturvedi M. & Sharma M. 1973. An analysis of honeybee pollen loads from Banthara, Lucknow, India. Grana 13: 144-159.
- Deodikar G B. 1964. Melittopalynology. In: Nair P. K. K. (Editor) - Advances in Palynology, National Botanical Garden, Lucknow: 404-419.
- Deodikar G. B., Shah N., Thakar C. V. & Salvi S. R. 1958. Morphological characterization of pollen grains of some major bee plants of Mahabaleshwar Hills. Proc. 17<sup>th</sup> Int. Bee Keeping Congr., Bologna and Rome, pp. 214-217.
- Deodikar G B. & Thakar C. V. 1953. A pollen study of honey yielding plants of Mahabaleshwar Hills. Apicultural Lab. Bulletin No. 1: 1-6.
- Erdtman G. 1943. An Introduction to Pollen Analysis. Chronica Botanica, Mass., USA.
- Garg A. & Nair P. K. K. 1974a. Honey pollen loads as bioindicator of bee pasturage in Bhimtal area of Western Himalaya, India. J. Palynol. 29: 87-109.
- Garg A. & Nair P. K. K. 1974b. Seasonal analysis of honeybee pollen loads from a temperate zone in Kumaon Himalaya in Uttar Pradesh
  - 1. Spring season loads from Bhimtal area. J. Palynol. 30: 1-34.

- International Commission for Bee Botany (ICBB 1970). Methods of melissopalynology. Bee World 51: 125-138.
- Jhansi P., Kalpana T. P. & Ramanujam C. G. K. 1994. Pollen analysis of some Apis cerana Fabr. honeys from Andhra Pradesh, India. Apidologie 25: 289-296.
- Lakshmi K. & Suryanarayana M. C. 2004. Melittopalynological investigation of *Apis dorsata* honeys from forest areas of Cuddaph District, Andhra Pradesh. J. Palynol. 40: 189-198.
- Phadke R. P. 1962. Physiochemical composition of major unifloral honeys from Mahabaleshwar (Western Ghats). Indian Bee Journal 24: 59-65.
- Ramanujam C. G. K. & Kalpana T. P. 1991. Pollen analysis of Prosopis juliflora honeys from Ranga Reddy District, A.P. and its relevance to apiculture and social forestry. J. Palynol. 27: 345-368.
- Ramanujam C. G. K. & Khatija F. 1992. Summer pollen sources of *Apis dorsata* honeybees in deciduous forest of Mahboobnagar District, A.P. Geophytology 21: 155-161.
- Ramanujam C. G. K. & Khatija F. 1995. Pollen characterization of rock bee honeys from deciduous forest of A.P. State. J. Palynol. 31: 183-201.
- Ramanujam C. G. K., Reddy P. R. & Kalpana T. P. 1992. Pollen analysis of apiary honeys from East Godavari District, A.P. J. Ind. Indust. Sci. 72(4): 289-299.
- Seethalakshmi T. S. 1980. Melittopalynological investigations on some Indian honeys. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Apic. Trop. Climates, New Delhi, India: 609-620.
- Sharma M. 1970. An analysis of pollen load of honeybee from Kangra, India. Grana 10: 35-42.
- Sharma O. P. & Raj D. 1985. Diversity of bee flora in Kangra Shivaliks and its impact on the bee keeping. Indian Bee Journal 47(1-4): 21-23.
- Suryanarayana M. C., Rao G M. & Singh T. S. M. S 1992. Studies on pollen sources for *Apis cerana* Fabr. and *Apis mellifera* L. bees at Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India. Apidologie 23: 33-48.
- Verma K. 1983. Pollen collection and brood rearing activities of Apis cerana indica Fabr. in Jeolekote, U. P. (India). Indian Bee Jl. 50(1): 12-13.
- Verma S. K. 1988. Foraging behaviour of Apis cerana indica Fabr. in Jeolekote (Nainital, India). Indian Bee Journal 45(1): 5-7.